

PREFACE

Arising from the consultation stage for the Draft Planning Scheme, it has been identified that some of the Block Model Renders in Appendix 14.1 of the EIS do not accurately depict the proposed alterations to ground levels contained within the Scheme.

The Authority has prepared revised Block Model Renders dated March 2009 for inclusion in Appendix 14.1 of Chapter 14.

The findings of the EIS are not altered or affected by the inclusion of the revised Block Model Renders in Appendix 14.1.

To assist interested persons, the authors of Chapter 14 [Cunnane Stratten Reynolds] have prepared a comparison of the Block Model Renders dated December 2008 and revised Block Model Renders dated March 2009.

Summary of Comparison of the Original and Revised Block Model Renders

Having reviewed the revised Block Model Renders, the authors of Chapter 14 have advised that of the 25 revised Block Model Renders, fifteen of the revised Block Model Renders show *no noticeable change*. Of the remaining ten revised views, the changes are *barely noticeable* in seven views and are *noticeable* in three revised views.

Table 1 below details the difference between the original and revised Block Model Renders for the 25 viewpoints. It also assesses if the difference in visibility of the proposed development requires an alteration to the classification of the 'magnitude of change' in the view stated in the EIS.

The authors have reviewed Chapter 14 in light of this analysis and have advised the Authority that, in no case does the revision to the block model render require the classification of 'magnitude of change' in any view to be altered.

Therefore, the revised block model renders do not require the authors to alter their professional assessment of the visual impact of the proposed development as stated in the EIS.

Table 1

View point	Difference	Analysis
V1	Noticeable difference	The classification of magnitude of change in Chapter 14 of the EIS assessment is high (definition: total loss of or major alteration to the key elements or characteristics of the view, and/or introduction of elements considered totally uncharacteristic in the context of the view). The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V2	Noticeable difference	The EIS assessment classified the magnitude of change as medium (definition: partial loss of or alteration to one or more key elements or features, and/or introduction of elements that may be prominent but may not necessarily be considered to be substantially uncharacteristic in the context of the view) in the short term, decreasing to low in the medium-long term as proposed planting in Sean Moore Park matures. The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V3	Barely noticeable difference	The EIS assessment classified the magnitude of change in this view as high. The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V4	Barely noticeable difference	The EIS assessment classified the magnitude of change in this view as high. The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V5	Noticeable difference	The EIS assessment classified the magnitude of change as high. The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V6	Barely noticeable difference	The EIS assessment classified the magnitude of change as medium. The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V7	Barely noticeable difference	The EIS assessment classified the magnitude of change as medium. The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V8	No noticeable difference	n/a
V9	No noticeable difference	n/a
V10	No noticeable difference	n/a
V11	No noticeable	n/a

Environmental Impact Statement for Draft Poolbeg Planning Scheme
Preface to Revised Block Model Renders of proposed Planning Scheme at Poolbeg dated 31st March 2009

	difference	
V12	No noticeable difference	n/a
V13	No noticeable difference	n/a
V14	No noticeable difference	n/a
V15	Barely noticeable difference	The EIS assessment classified the magnitude of change as medium. The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V16	Barely noticeable difference	The EIS assessment classified the magnitude of change as low. The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V17	No noticeable difference	n/a
V18	No noticeable difference.	n/a
V19	No noticeable difference	n/a
V20	No noticeable difference.	n/a
V21	No noticeable difference	n/a
V22	No noticeable difference	n/a
V23	No noticeable difference.	n/a
V24	Barely noticeable difference	The EIS assessment classified the magnitude of change as medium. The difference does not cause a substantial change in the proportion of the view affected, the overall prominence of the development or any particular building/s, or the degree of visual enclosure in the view. Therefore, the classification of magnitude of visual change does not need to be altered, nor the assessment of visual impact significance on this viewpoint.
V25	No noticeable difference	n/a